# PHIL 226 Biomedical Ethics

Week 11

Exercise Nov. 22. Exam Nov. 29. Reproductive ethics No electronics



1

## **Zombie Ethics**

- 1. Do Zombies have rights?
- 2. Do voodoo Zombies have different rights than virus Zombies?
- 3. Are Zombies persons? Do Zombies have needs?
- 4. Should ethical decision making consider consequences for Zombies?
- 5. Should Zombies be covered by universal health plans?
- 6. Is killing Zombies euthanasia?

2

# Discussion question

 John and Mary were normal until they were both bitten by a Zombie. Now all they want to do is to eat the brains of others. What should be done with them?

# Reproductive Issues

- Birth control
- Genetic screening for birth defects
- In vitro fertilization (IVF)
- Sperm and egg donors (voluntary, paid)
- Stem cell research
- Surrogate motherhood
- Genetic enhancement
- Cloning
- Parthenogenesis
- Chimeras/hybrids
- Gene patenting

## The Vatican View

- 1. God created human life.
- 2. Human life is sacred.
- 3. Persons begin at conception.
- 4. Procreation requires marriage and the conjugal
- 5. So, in vitro fertilization is wrong.

5

## In Vitro Fertilization

- Homologous (married couple) vs heterologous (1 or 2 donors)
- Arguments for:
  - Consequences: wanted child, happiness of parents.
  - Right to have children.
- Arguments against:
- Conjugal duties.
- High failure rate, expense. Multiple births.

6

## Overall's Criteria

- Informed choice: risks and alternatives.
- Eliminate irrelevant barriers, such as marital status
- Track long term effects. Donors not vendors.
- Provide support for participants in IVF programs.

Conceiving a Child to Save a Child

## Arguments that the parents acted wrongly.

- 1. Marissa is being used as a means to an end, treated as an object.
- 2. Marissa would be harmed by learning why she was conceived.
- 3. Personal relationships are endangered.

### Arguments that the parents acted rightly.

- 1. The parents planned to love Marissa fully, so she is not just a means.
- 2. Marissa would already have a good relationship by the time she was told.
- 3. Families have a right to privacy.
- 4. Good consequences for Marissa's sister and parents.

8

## Discussion question

- Antoine and Marie have a daughter with leukemia who needs a bone marrow transplant, but no matches are available.
- Should they have another child in order to provide a transplant?

## **Gene Therapy**

#### Distinctions

- · Therapy: treat a disease.
- · Enhancement: improve human functioning.
  - · E.g. athletic, mental, cosmetic.
- Somatic gene therapy: modify genes that affect only the current patient.
- Germline gene therapy: modify genes that will be passed on to offspring
- Claim: Gene therapy is moral, but genetic enhancement is not.

### The Concept of Disease

- •Biological approach: Diseases are defects in functional abilities.
- •Value-laden approach: Disease concepts depend on social values.
- •Makes it much harder to defend therapy/enhancement distinction.

10

# Against Genetic Enhancement

- Inequality: some people would get an unfair advantage.
- Bad consequences: different tiers of society would arise.
- Slippery slope: Nazi type eugenics would follow.
- Enhancement changes the human form.
- Germline enhancement violates the rights of the unborn.
- Genetic enhancement could have negative side effects. Compare steroids.

11

## For Genetic Enhancement

- Autonomy: People have a right to alter their bodies.
- Consequence: People would be happier and more successful.
- Consequence: Humanity would be improved.

# Discussion question

- Hans and Inge are both good athletes, but they want their children to be much better, and they have heard that gene therapy might produce more fast-twitch muscles.
- Should they give their children gene therapy?

## Cloning

- Reproductive cloning: Transfer genetic material from a donor adult cell to an egg whose nucleus has been removed. Stimulate cell division, then implant in a uterus.
- Result: New individual with almost the same genetics as the donor.

14

# **Arguments Against Cloning**

- Cloning humans is unnatural.
- Cloning humans is playing God.
- Cloning humans is contrary to human dignity.
- Cloned people would be used as means, not ends, e.g. as replicas.

# Arguments for Cloning

- Technology should not be limited.
- Cloning would increase happiness of parents of cloned children.
- Species enhancement: Cloning could be used to improve the quality of humanity, e.g. altruism, competition with machines.
- Misapplications of cloning could be prevented.

16

# Embryonic Stem Cell Research

- Human embryos, no matter how small, are human beings with full rights.
- In vitro fertilization and stem cell research lead to production of embryos that should not be killed.
- Stem cells can be obtained from umbilical cords and other sources.
- Embryos would be used for non-medical research, e.g. toxicology.

17

## For Stem Cell Research

- This research can lead to better understanding and treatment of diseases, e.g. diabetes, increasing human happiness.
- Appropriate uses of embryos and stem cells can be regulated. Perform research only when good reasons exist for it.